

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

KAREEN ANDERSON,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:16-CR-0305-KJD-VCF

ORDER

Presently before the Court is Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Due to Due Process Violations (#119). The Government filed a response in opposition (#122) to which Defendant replied (#127). Also before the Court is Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Count 2 (#120). The Government filed a response in opposition (#123) to which Defendant replied (#129).

Defendant contends that he was arrested before an indictment was secured and a warrant issued. Having examined the documents at issue, primarily Defendant's warrant returned executed (#19), the Court denies Defendant's motion. The defects complained of by Defendant are not present. Therefore, the rest of Defendant's motion (#119) is moot.

Defendant's motion to dismiss Count 2 is totally frivolous. Defendant is free to challenge the evidence supporting Count 2 at trial. Defendant's claim that he is not mentioned anywhere in Count

1 is patently untrue. In fact, his name is listed in bold type face in all caps. No grounds exist to dismiss
2 Count 2.

3 Accordingly, Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Due to Due Process Violations (#119) is
4 **DENIED;**

5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Count 2 (#120) is
6 **DENIED.**

7 DATED this 25TH day of October 2017.

8
9
10 

11

Kent J. Dawson
United States District Judge
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26